# **ETUC Response**

to the European Commission Proposal for a Directive to Strengthen the application of the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value between men and women through pay transparency and enforcement mechanisms



#### Introduction

Although there has been European law on equal pay for women and men for 45 years, the gender pay gap in the European Union is still unacceptably large at over 14%. At the current very slow rate of progress, pay equality will not be achieved across the European Union until the next century (2104) and will never be reached in some Member States.

The need for action is made more urgent as the impact of the coronavirus outbreak threatens to undermine what gender equality progress has been made. The legacy of Covid cannot be allowed to be less equal pay, particularly as the majority of essential and front-line workers are women. It cannot be acceptable, if it ever was, to refer to these workers as low skilled. They have proven just how essential they are to the enterprise, organisation, society and economy. Of the 49 million care workers in the EU, around 76% are women; women account for 82% of all cashiers in retail and 95% of workers in domestic cleaning and home help. The essential role played by these workers during the Covid pandemic calls for a systematic re-evaluation of their pay, so that their true contribution is properly valued and paid.

#### Pay Transparency as an enabling condition for pay justice

The ETUC, in its Resolution on Gender Pay Transparency adopted 22nd October 2019, called for greater pay transparency as a means to assist trade unions to bargain for measures to help to close the gender pay gap. So, it is progress that the European Commission has on 4th March 2021 finally published a proposal for a Gender Pay Transparency Directive.

### Two steps forward, one step back

The Directive does contain good principles and intentions that we can welcome. The Directive will give each worker, in both the public and private sectors, the right to get certain information about their pay and about pay in the organisation they work for, and employers are required to publish annual reports on the gender pay gap, and to take action to tackle the gap. The Directive will certainly help to reduce secrecy on pay and expose pay inequality. But while containing these good principles, the Directive does not do enough to be the game changer it needs to be. Instead, the proposed Directive puts a number of obstacles in the way of women workers securing the pay equality they deserve and are entitled to. The ETUC will campaign strongly to secure amendments to address the deficiencies.



### Key priority 1

Guarantee that trade unions can be involved in job evaluation and guarantee the right of trade unions to bargain to close the pay gap.

The proposed Directive takes a highly detrimental approach of providing rights to information, consultation and advocacy to "workers' representatives" instead of trade unions. This would open the door to fake unions set up by bosses, or even 'workers' representatives' chosen by bosses, as the recital to the Directive (p.13) states that 'if formal workers' representatives are absent in the organisation, the employer should designate one or more workers for this purpose'. This is an attack on trade union rights and will be resisted strongly by workers and their unions throughout Europe.

#### The ETUC demands the Directive be amended to ensure:

- the right for trade unions to represent workers and collectively bargain on pay and other measures aimed at closing the gender pay gap (new article in Chapter II).
- that the definition of workers representatives guarantees that, in no case can trade unions and their prerogatives be replaced by non-union workers representatives or by Equality Bodies or other actors (new definition in Article 3 Definitions).

Leaving women workers to fight the remaining gender pay gap on their own is a way to slow progress towards pay equality, not speed it up. Women workers need the defence of their union to bargain for pay equality. Therefore, the ETUC is also calling for an obligation on employers to agree with the trade union the job assessment criteria (Article 4 Equal work and work of equal value). This is essential to ensure that there is the opportunity to challenge any hidden bias in the criteria used by the employer to justify the pay of the different categories of workers in the organisation. It cannot be left to employers alone to determine this.

There is a welcome inclusion of a 'hypothetical comparator'. This should be developed to ensure that a comparison is possible between the pay of jobs predominantly done by women, for example care, cleaning, and shop work and the pay of with different jobs in other sectors predominantly done by men, which require the same skills, qualifications, responsibilities, and risks.



This will be critical to the success of the initiative. The Directive does recognise this – as does existing EU law – but it proposes to allow employers to decide which jobs can be compared to each other for the purposes of equal pay, without creating an opportunity for trade unions to be involved in the job assessment or to challenge the assumptions behind the value accorded and the different pay levels given to the different jobs. It is naïve to think that leaving these decisions solely in the hands of the employer will bring about the type of change necessary.

#### The ETUC demands the Directive be amended to ensure:

- that trade unions are guaranteed to be involved in assessing the criteria for establishing equal pay for work of equal value (Article 4 Equal work and work of equal value).
- that workers' trade unions are given information about how pay for each category has been determined (Article 7 Right to information).
- that comparisons for purpose of equal pay for work of equal value can also be made across sectors (Article 4 Equal work and work of equal value).
- that the employer must involve their workers trade unions in determining how the pay gap is measured (Article 8 Reporting on pay gap between female and male workers).
- the introduction of an obligation on the employer to negotiate a plan to close the gender pay gap ( (Article 12 Defence of rights and Article 27, Collective bargaining and action).

### **Key priority 2**

# All companies regardless of their size should make reports and joint assessments.

The proposed Directive limits the requirement to report on pay gaps and carry out pay assessments and take measures to close pay gaps to organisations with over 250 workers (Article 8 Reporting on pay gap between female and male workers and Article 9 Joint pay assessment). This will mean that roughly 67% of all employees in the EU will be excluded. This limitation greatly undermines the value of the Directive for the majority of working women in the EU. Moreover, it is an unnecessary limitation.



For example, the impact assessment carried out by the Commission for the Directive shows that the cost of providing the report on pay gaps is estimated at 'average cost between €315 and €500 per employer' (p. 56). This threshold is also well below thresholds of transparency provisions in some Member States, for example in Belgium and in Portugal, where the provisions will apply to companies of 50 employees and over as of 2022. Moreover, the EU Treaty does not make a distinction between the right to pay equality based on the size of the employer.

#### The ETUC demands the Directive be amended to ensure:

 that all companies regardless of their size should make reports and audits. Provision can be made for less frequent reporting for example every 2 years for companies over 50 and every 3 years for companies of less than 10 employees.

### **Key priority 3**

#### Remove the restrictions on pay transparency.

The proposed Directive provides rights to individual workers to discuss their pay but only in very limited circumstances (Article 7(5) Right to information). This undermines the operation of the pay transparency objective, namely to know what the pay differences are, as workers will be fearful that discussing their pay falls outside the legal space for disclosures. Article 7(6) could allow employers to prevent workers from sharing the information obtained with trade unions.

Article 8 requires employers to report on different pay gaps within the organisation, but not on the actual pay. This does not ensure sufficient transparency, especially as employers are able to decide what categories they will break the workforce into and to determine how the pay gaps are to be calculated within each category. The Directive should be changed to ensure that the employer must provide information on actual pay levels, broken by down by sex, for each category of worker and for the organisation as a whole. The information should be required to be provided on an annual basis (currently unspecified in the Directive).



Further concerns arise in the operation of data protection rules (Article 10, Data protection) on the restrictions on information in the pay audit. It is the case that data protection is often incorrectly raised by employers as a restriction on their pay transparency. It would appear that the Directive aims to confirm this incorrect approach. This is a major step backwards, the Directive should confirm the -non-application of GDPR to the achievements of the fundamental rights and legitimate interests of workers guaranteed by this Directive.

#### The ETUC demands the Directive be amended to ensure:

- that workers can discuss and disclose their pay to their colleagues (Article 7 Right to Information), and that they cannot be prevented from disclosing the information on pay levels obtained from their employers to their trade union (removal/amendment of Article 7(6)).
- that the employer provides, on an annual basis, information on average pay levels, broken down by sex, for the different categories of workers and the organisation as a whole (addition to Article 8).
- that GDPR rules cannot be an obstacle to pay transparency or to equal pay claims (Article 10 Data protection). In addition, it would be assistance, for the avoidance of any doubt, that there is no restriction on the right of workers to discuss their pay with their trade union (Article 10 Data protection and Article 13 Procedures on behalf or in support of workers).

### Key priority 4

### Strengthen enforcement provisions.

The Directive provides for grouped cases of several workers (Article 13 Procedures on behalf or in support of workers), but not class actions. For cases of equal pay for work of equal value, class actions are more suitable, as they reach all workers in the group, category or sector.

The Directive also provides that Member States may use their public contracts and concessions (Article 21, Equal pay matters in public contracts and concessions) to prohibit companies that have a gender pay gap of more than 5%, but this is restricted to employers with over 250 employees and with a gap of 5% for the same category of worker. This severely limits the effectiveness of this provision.



The Directives foresees a role for Equality Bodies (Article 25 Equality bodies) that may be inappropriate when the Equality Bodies are not tripartite. It is essential that Equality Bodies and the 'monitoring body' involve trade unions (Article 26 Monitoring and awareness raising).

#### The ETUC demands the Directive be amended to ensure:

- the possibility for workers and trade unions to take class actions.
- that Member States restrict access to public contracts and concessions to companies regardless of size, that have a gender pay gap of more than 5% in the organisation and that refuse to bargain with trade unions to close the pay gap.
- that Equality Bodies and the Monitoring Bodies include, consult and involve social partners in tripartite structures.



## **ETUC** demands

It is essential that the Directive to Strengthen the application of the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value between men and women through pay transparency and enforcement mechanisms makes swift progress. Using data from the EU's statistical office, the situation is that women will have to wait for another 84 years and the next century to achieve equal pay at the current pace of change. The pandemic has exacerbated existing inequalities between women and men, rolling back on the hard-won achievements of past years.

The Directive needs to be amended so that it achieves its stated objectives. Importantly, it needs to ensure that employers don't get to choose who represents their workers (if workers want to be represented by their trade union, the Directive should support this choice, not undermine it). Likewise, amendments are needed to ensure that employers cannot continue to cover up pay inequality, workers and their unions should be able to question the job assessments and criteria for establishing pay.

Closing the Gender Pay Gap in a company needs a range of actions and it is essential that workers are ensured that they can collectively bargain for those measures too.

The Directive is an essential step to ensure that pay equity is at the heart of COVID-19 recovery efforts throughout Europe. The crisis has highlighted how our economies and societies are built upon the essential work being done predominantly by women and it has laid bare the deep-rooted bias behind wages for these jobs and professions. Carers and cleaners have been recognized as 'essential' but they receive the lowest pay in Europe. In addition, women in Europe doing jobs requiring the same skills as jobs done by men are still being paid significantly less. The provisions on work of equal value need to be improved and to allow for comparisons, not only within the company but with other companies and sectors too.

Finally, it is worth recalling that the guarantee of equal pay between men and women is regardless of the size of the company. The Directive should apply to companies regardless of their size and all companies should make reports and audits. It can be possible to reduce the frequency of the reports and audits on companies with less than 10 employees, but it is unacceptable not to require them to be transparent too.



### **Further information**

ETUC Model Proposal for a Directive on strengthening
the principle of equal pay between women and men through pay
transparency

ETUC Leaflet: Gender Pay Gap - End the secrecy

